

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE METHYL TERTIARY BUTYL ETHER  
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

This document relates to:

*Escambia County Utilities Auth. v. Adcock Petroleum, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 04-CV-1722

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 9/18/08

Master File No. 1:00-1898  
MDL 1358 (SAS), M21-88

**ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH  
PREJUDICE**

The Plaintiff and those Settling Defendants that are parties in this action as set forth on the attached Exhibit A have advised the Court that they have resolved the matters between them pursuant to the Settlement Agreement<sup>1</sup>, and Plaintiff has now moved without opposition for the entry of this agreed upon dismissal of all claims of any kind pending against the Settling

In Re: Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether ("MTBE") Products Liability Litigation  
Defendants with prejudice. The Court finds that the motion for dismissal of Settling Defendants with prejudice from this action should be granted and that:

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED** as follows:


1. The Plaintiff and Settling Defendants have advised the Court that they have resolved the matters between them pursuant to a Settlement Agreement and a release.
2. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, the settling parties consent to the dismissal with prejudice of Settling Defendants only from this action.

Doc. 2027

<sup>1</sup> The Settlement Agreement dated as of March 12, 2008 ("Settlement Agreement") was filed with this Court on May 7, 2008 in connection with a motion for good faith determination under California and Illinois law in certain other cases. This motion was granted and a judgment entered. See Amended Opinion and Order at 2008 WL 2944653 (July 30, 2008). The term "Settling Defendants" used herein is as defined in the Settlement Agreement.

3. No other parties have objected to the dismissal of the above entitled action with prejudice as to the Settling Defendants only.
4. Therefore, this action, including all claims, counterclaims, and cross-claims of any kind, is hereby dismissed with prejudice only as to those Settling Defendants that have been named or have appeared in the above captioned action, including those identified on the attached Exhibit A, with each party to bear its own costs and attorneys' fees.

ENTERED this 17 day of September, 2008:



\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Shira A. Scheindlin

## EXHIBIT A

The Settling Defendants below are named defendants in the case of *Escambia County Utilities Auth. v. Adcock Petroleum, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 04-CV-1722 and are listed as they appear in the caption of Plaintiffs' complaint (even if erroneously identified or no longer in existence).

1. Amerada Hess Corporation
2. Ashland, Inc.
3. Atlantic Richfield Company, individually and doing business as ARCO Products Company (f/k/a ARCO Petroleum Co.) and also known as ARCO
4. BP Products North America, Inc., individually and as successor-by-merger to Amoco Oil Company and BP Exploration and Oil Inc. (successor-by-merger to BP North America, Inc.)
5. ChevronTexaco Corporation, individually and as successor-in-interest to Chevron Corporation and as successor-in-interest to Texaco, Inc.
6. Chevron U.S.A., Inc., individually and formerly known as Gulf Oil Corp. (d/b/a Chevron Products Company, d/b/a Chevron Chemical Company)
7. CITGO Petroleum Corporation
8. CITGO Refining and Chemicals Company, LP
9. Coastal Eagle Point Oil Company
10. Coastal Fuels Marketing, Inc.
11. Coastal Mobile Refining Company
12. Colorado Refining Company
13. ConocoPhillips Company, individually and as successor-in-interest to Conoco Inc. and Phillips Petroleum Company, and d/b/a Phillips 66 Company
14. El Paso CGP Company, individually and formerly known as The Coastal Corporation
15. El Paso Corporation, individually and formerly known as El Paso Energy Corporation
16. El Paso Merchant Energy-Petroleum Company, individually and formerly known as Coastal Refining and Marketing, Inc. and formerly known as Coastal States Trading, Inc.
17. Equilon Enterprises, LLC, individually and also known as Shell Oil Products US
18. Flint Hills Resources, LP (f/k/a Koch Petroleum Group, LP)
19. Lyondell-CITGO Refining
20. Marathon Ashland Petroleum, LLC
21. Marathon Oil Company, individually and formerly known as USX Corporation (f/k/a United States Steel Corporation)
22. Motiva Enterprises, LLC, individually and formerly known as Star Enterprises LLC
23. PDV Midwest Refining, LLC
24. The Premcor Refining Group, Inc., individually and formerly known as Clark Refining
25. Shell Oil Company
26. Shell Oil Products Company
27. Shell Oil Products Company, LLC
28. Shell Petroleum, Inc.
29. Shell Trading (US) Company, individually and formerly known as Equiva Trading Company, and also known as STUSCO
30. Sunoco, Inc., individually and formerly known as Sun Oil Company, and formerly known as Sun Company, Inc.

31. Sunoco, Inc. (R&M), individually and formerly known as Sun Refining and Marketing Company and formerly known as Sun Company, Inc. (R&M)
32. Texaco, Inc.
33. Texaco Refining and Marketing Inc. (a/k/a TRMI Holdings)
34. Texaco Refining & Marketing (East), Inc.
35. TMR Company, f/k/a/ Texaco Refining and Marketing Inc., individually and as successor-by-merger to TRME Company f/k/a Texaco Refining and Marketing (East), Inc.
36. TPI Petroleum
37. Tosco Corporation, individually and also known as Tosco Refining Company, and also known as Tosco Marketing Company
38. Union Oil Company of California (d/b/a Unocal)
39. Unocal Corporation, individually and formerly known as Union Oil Company of California
40. Valero Energy Corporation
41. Valero Marketing and Supply Company
42. Valero Refining and Marketing Company
43. Valero Refining Company